# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

APR-21-2006 15:15

303 297 2266

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 2 1 2006

303 297 2266

P.01

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0851-0031

			U.S. P	Patent and Tre	demark Of	ffice; U.S less ILdia	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		
Under the Paper	work Reduction Act of 1995	NO DETECTION	Application Number	10/638,096	<u> </u>		plays a valid OMB control number.		
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date	August 7, 2003					
FORM			First Named Inventor	Levik Kodav	Levik Kodaverdlan et al.				
			Art Unit	3723					
			Examiner Name	Hedi Shake	Hadi Shakeri				
	correspondence efter initial	199) 22	Attorney Docket Number	Bona US 4 CVL					
Total Number of P	ages in This Submission								
		ENC	LOSURES (Check all	that apply)		Ador All	owance Communication to TC		
Fee Transm	Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)				Anneal Communication to Board		
Fee			Licenaing-related Papers			of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC			
Extension of Express All Information  Certified C Document  Reply to M Incomplete Recomplete	er Final davits/declaration(s) of Time Request bandonment Request in Disclosure Statement	Rema	Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on Carks	Address		(Appeal Propriet Status I	Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) ary information		
	SIGN	ATURE	OF APPLICANT, ATT	ORNEY, C	OR AGE	ENT			
Firm Name	Kleas, Law, O'Meera &	Malkin, P.	С.						
Signature	signature RW Melkon								
Printed name	Robert W. Nelson, Esq.								
Date	April 21, 2008 Reg. No. 37,898								
I hereby cartify th sufficient postage the date shown b	at this correspondence is as first class mall in an		ICATE OF TRANSMIS	TO or done	ellod udit	n the Un ( 1450, /	ited States Postal Service with Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on		
Signature	KALIA	43/1	ack						
Typed or printed						Date	April 21, 2006		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a banefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is settinated to 2 hours to complete, including propering, and automitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

303 297 2266

APR 2 1 2006

303 297 2266

P.02

PTO/SB/17 (01-06) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OM8 0651-0032
U.S. Patent end Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Pape	LMOLK REGUESION VCI O	I IBBO IND POIS	ous are reduired to 1	Babour to a conecti			-	AR ARIN CAMP COURT	A HOINIOU
Fees pursuani (				te if Known					
	Application Number 10/638		0/638,0	,096					
FE	Filing Date		August 7, 2003						
	First Named in	ventor L	Levik Kodaverdian et al.			-			
	Examiner Nam	18 <u> </u>	Hadi Shakeri						
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27			Art Unit	3	3723				
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 250.00			Attorney Dock	et No. E	Bona US 4 CVL				
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)									
Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify):									
	Account Deposit A	-		Deposit A			s. Law.	O'Meara	
Deposit .	apoveridentified det	occount Humber Sosit Account							
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)  Charge fee(s) Indicated below Charge fee(s) Indicated below, except for the filling fee						fee .			
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s)					fit any over				
1.*_1.	Ador 27 CED 1 16 a	nd 1 17			•			muddo amdit čádt	
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.									
FEE CALCU	LATION (All the f	ses below	are due upon fl	lling or may be	subject	to a su	rcharge.	.)	
1. BASIC FIL	ING, SEARCH, A	ND EXAMI	NATION FEES				. <u></u>		
	FILI	NG FEES Smail En		RCH FEES  Small Entity	EXAM	NATION Name	N FEES Entity		
Application	Type Fee				<u>Fee (</u>		(5)	Fees Paid (	<u>so</u>
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	10	0		
Design	200	100	100	50	130	6	5		
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	8	o`		_
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	30	0		
Provisiona	200	100	0	0	0		<b>0</b> .		
2 EXCESS				ee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)				
<u>Fee Descrip</u> Bach clain		ng Reissues	١			-	50	25	
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues) Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)						200	100		
Multiple d	Multiple dependent claims						360	180	
				Paid (\$)		_		ependent Claims	
	· 20 or HP = umber of lotal ctaims p	wid for If great	er (han 20			1	ee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	
Indep. Claim		<u>Claims</u>		Paid (\$)		_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
	3 or HP =		=_						i
	imber of Independent ( 10N SIZE FEE	dalma paid for,	if greater then 3.						
If the specif	cation and drawir	ngs exceed I	100 sheets of pag	per (excluding	electronic	ally file	d seque	nce or computer	
listings ı	nder 37 CFR 1.52	2(e)), the ap	plication size fe	e due is \$250 (	\$125 for a	small en	tity) for	each additional	50
sheets or <u>Total Sh</u> e	fraction thereof.  Extra	See 35 U.S <u>Sheets</u>	.C. 41(a)(1)(G) Number of eac	h edditional 50 (	or fraction		<u> F99</u>	(\$) Fee Pale	1 (2)
	100 =	/ 50	F	_ (round up to a	mun elodw	iber) x		e	
4. OTHER FEE(S)  Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)  Fees Paid (\$)					id (\$)				
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): Appeal Brief \$ 250.00						.00			
UBMITTED BY		/			-				
Ignature	11/1.			Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	37,898		Telephor	ne (303) 298-9888	
	Robert W. Nelson,	Eng.		(Automoty/Agent)	· -		-	ril 21, 2006	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete including gethering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burdon, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commitseloner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need essistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

APR-21-2006 15:16

303 297 2266

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

303 297 2266

P.04

APR 2 1 2006

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:	) Confirmation No.: 3381				
Levik Kodaverdian, et al.	) Group Art Unit: 3723				
Serial No.: 10/638,096	) Examiner: Hadi Shakeri				
Filed: August 7, 2003	) ) Atty. Docket: Bona US 4 CVL				
For: FLOOR EDGER	)				
	<b>\</b>				

## APPEAL BRIEF

To: Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed on January 4, 2005, and a Notice of Appeal mailed on February 21, 2006, the applicants appeal as follows:

04/25/2006 SSITHIB1 00000082 111150 10638096

01 FC:2402

250.00 DA

This brief contains items under the following headings as required by 37 CFR §41.37 and MPEP §1206:

- I. Real Party In Interest
- II. Related Appeals, Interferences and Judicial Proceedings
- III. Status of Claims
- IV. Status of Amendments
- V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter
- VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal
- VII. Argument
- VIII. Claims
- IX. Evidence
- X. Related Proceedings

Appendix A

Claims

Appendix B

Evidence

Appendix C

Related Proceedings

APR-21-2006 15:16 303 297 2266 7.06 303 297 2266 9.06

## (I) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest in the above-referenced patent application is BonaKemi USA, Inc. of 14805 E. Moncrieff Place, Aurora, Colorado.

## (II) RELATED APPEALS, INTERFERENCES AND JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no related appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings currently known to the Appellants, Appellants' legal representatives or the assignee, which will directly affect, or be directly affected by, or have a bearing on, the Board's decision.

## (III) STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-9, 11-13, 15-24, 26-28, 30, and 32-35 are pending. All pending claims stand rejected. The rejections of all claims are appealed.

#### (IV) STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments were filed or entered subsequent to the final rejection mailed November 21, 2005.

#### (V) SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The invention as claimed is summarized below with reference numerals and references to the specification and drawings. The invention is broadly set forth in the language corresponding to independent claims 1 and 17. Discussions about elements of the invention can be found at least at the locations in the specification and drawings cited in the claims below.

Serial Number: 10/638,096

Docket No.: Bona US 4 CVL

## 1. A wood floor edger (100) comprising:

a first housing (104) comprising a first opening, a second opening, a third opening, and a rotatable abrasive disc (274) located proximate said first opening, said rotatable abrasive disc (274) having a diameter greater than six inches; [page 2, lines 13-14; Page 2, line 29 to page 3, line 2; page 4, line 20; Figs. 1 and 5]

a motor (110) at least partially located in said second opening and drivingly connected to said abrasive disc; [Page 6, lines 13-16; Figs. 1 and 5]

a fan (250) located in said first housing (120), said fan (250) being drivingly connected to said motor (110); [page 3, lines 29-31; Page 6, lines 12-16; Fig. 5; paragraph added on October 22, 2004 at page 4 after line 26] and

an air path extending between said first opening and said third opening by way of said fan. [Page 3, lines 26-31; Page 4, lines 20-25; Fig. 5; paragraph added on October 22, 2004 at page 4 after line 26]

## 17. A wood floor edger (100) comprising:

a first housing (104) comprising a first opening, a second opening, a third opening, and a rotatable abrasive disc located adjacent said first opening; [Page 2, line 29 to page 3, line 2; page 4, line 20; Figs. 1 and 5]

a motor at least partially located in said second opening and drivingly connected to said abrasive disc; [Page 6, lines 13-16; Figs. 1 and 5]

a fan located in said first housing and proximate said third opening, said fan being drivingly connected to said motor; [page 3, lines 29-31; Page 6, lines 12-16; Fig. 5; paragraph added on October 22, 2004 at page 4 after line 26]

an air path extending between said first opening and said third opening by way of said fan; [Page 3, lines 26-31, Page 4, lines 20-25; Fig. 5; paragraph added on October 22, 2004 at page 4 after line 26] and

a motor controller electrically connected to said motor; [Page 6, lines 17-24; Fig. 2]

wherein said motor is operatable at a speed that is preselected by said motor controller. [Page 6, line 31 to page 7, line 6]

## (VI) GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Claims 1, 4, 9, 11-17, 20-24, 26-28, 30, and 33-35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurstein et al. (5,870,791) in view of any one of Barous, Palushi, or Stewart.

Claims 2-8, 18-23, and 32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurstein et al. (5,870,791) in view of any one of Barous, Palushi, or Stewart as applied to claims 1 and 17, further in view of McCutchen (6,540,598).

The appellant contends that the rejections are in error.

#### (VII) ARGUMENT

Rejection of Claims 1, 4-9, 11-17, 20-24, 26-28, 30, 31, 33, and 34 Under 35 l. U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1, 4, 9, 11-17, 20-24, 26-28, 30, 33, and 34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being unpatentable over Gurstein et al. (5,870,791) in view of any one of Barous (5,890, 954), Palushi (6,616,517) or Stewart (6,027,399).

Serial Number: 10/638,096

#### CLAIM 1

Claim 1 is printed as follows for convenience:

A wood floor edger comprising:

a first housing comprising a first opening, a second opening, a third opening, and a rotatable abrasive disc located proximate said first opening, said rotatable abrasive disc having a diameter greater than six inches;

a motor at least partially located in said second opening and drivingly connected to said abrasive disc:

a fan located in said first housing, said fan being drivingly connected to said motor; and

an air path extending between said first opening and said third opening by way of said fan.

Claim 1 includes a first housing that has at least three openings. An abrasive disc is located proximate the first opening. Thus, the first opening may be the bottom of the edger that is located adjacent a floor during use. The motor is at least partially located in the second opening. The fan is located in the first housing and is connected to the motor. An air path extends between the first opening and the third opening by way of the fan. Thus, the third opening may be a port or the like used to exhaust debris from the first housing. It is noted that the first, second and third openings are distinct openings in the first housing.

According to the final office action, Gurstein discloses all the elements of claim 1 except a third opening or port in the first housing wherein an air path is defined between the third opening and the first opening by way of the fan. The office action further states that vacuum ports are known in the art as shown by Barous, Palushi and Stewart. The office action concludes that it would have been obvious to modify

Serial Number: 10/638,096

Docket No.: Bona US 4 CVL

APR-21-2006 15:17 303 297 2266 9.10

Gurstein with a port and a vacuum device as taught by anyone of Barous, Palushi and Stewart.

The appellants begin their rebuttal by noting that the fan (18) disclosed in Gurstein is located proximate the motor (8) and is used for cooling the motor (8). The operation of the fan (18) disclosed in Gurstein is described at column 5, lines 51-65 as follows:

Cooling fan 18 sucks air in through air inlet slots 24 and out under motor cover 22 through grooves 15, cooling both motor 8 and an upper surface of deck 14 while floor polisher 1 is in operation. The air flow is divided in two directions, along the top of deck 14 along grooves 15 under motor cover 22, and also straight down through cooling fan 18 through the fins of motor 8. The two directions of the air flow created by cooling fan 18 are shown by the arrows A and B in FIG. 3. This cooling feature facilitates the cooling of motor 8 and the motor controller 25 which includes power factor correction means mounted on the reverse side of deck 14. This cooling system is of primary importance to the operation of floor polisher 1, as failure to adequately cool the motor controller 25 serving brushless D.C. or light weight motor 8 will quickly result in burnout of the controller.

Per the description of Gurstein, the fan (18) serves to cool the motor (8) by blowing air past the motor (8). The appellants note that the air flow of Gurstein does not blow air from the first housing (4) past the motor (8). If Gurstein had such a modification, the air flow would cause debris to be blow into the motor (8) and then into a room in which the device is being operated. If the air flow of Gurstein was modified to blow from the motor (8) into the housing (4), debris would be blow out of the housing (4). Accordingly, Gurstein does not teach or suggest any of these modifications.

Claim 1, unlike Gurstein, has the fan located in an air path between the first opening and the third opening. Thus, the fan may facilitate the removal of dust and

APR-21-2006 15:18 303 297 2266 P.11

debris from the first housing without blowing the dust and debris past the motor and onto the room in which the edger is operating. The appellants note that there is no airflow between the first opening and the second opening. Such a configuration would blow debris in the motor or throughout the room in which the edger is being operated.

In order to modify Gurstein as suggested by the office action, one would have to include a third opening, wherein air flow would pass between the new third opening and the first opening by way of the fan. As stated above, the configuration of the fan of Gurstein does not teach the fan recited in claim 1. According to the final office action, such a modification is obvious by a combination of Gurstein with any one of Barous, Palushi or Stewart. Barous, Palushi and Stewart all disclose vacuum ports for removing debris via a vacuum port. As set forth below, such combinations do not teach claim 1 and do not include all the elements of claim 1.

The combination of Gurstein with Barous, Palushi or Stewart does not disclose the elements of claim 1 as set forth in the final office action. Barous, Palushi and Stewart all disclose vacuums to withdraw dust and debris from a sander or the like. However, these combinations do not disclose "an air path extending between said first opening and said third opening by way of said fan" as claimed in claim 1. Thus, the suggested combination would locate the fan (18) of Gurstein in an air path between a first opening and a third opening. The air flow to the second opening would be eliminated and the motor (8) would not be cooled. Such a configuration is at odds with the teachings of Gurstein, which teaches that the fan (18) is crucial for cooling the motor (8).

Based on the forgoing, there is no motivation for the suggested combination. For example, the suggested combination is contrary to the teachings of Gurstein and would not work when implemented into Gurstein.

Based on the foregoing, the appellants contend that claim 1 is allowable and request reconsideration of the rejection.

APR-21-2006 15:18 303 297 2266 303 297 2266 P.12

CLAIMS 4-9, and 11-13

Claims 4-9, and 11-13 are deemed allowable by way of their dependence on claim 1 and for other reasons. Accordingly, claims 4-9, 11, and 13 stand or fall with

claim 1.

CLAIM 15

Claim 15 is dependent on claim 1 and recites the following:

The wood floor edger of claim 1, and further comprising a second housing

having a handle attached thereto.

Claim 15 is directed to the wood floor edger comprising a second housing

wherein a handle is attached to the second housing. According to the final office

action, Gurstein meets all the limitations of a second housing, wherein the second

housing is a frame (3).

As shown in Figs. 2-4 of Gurstein, the handle (26) is connected to a housing (4)

that contains a disc. Thus, the housing (4) of Gurstein has to correspond to the first

housing of claim 1. The appellants note that the frame (3) recited in the final office

action is part of the housing (4). Accordingly, the handle (26) of Gurstein is connected

to a first housing and not a second housing as claimed in claim 15.

Based on the foregoing, Gurstein does not meet all the limitations of claim 15 as

stated in the final office action. The appellants request reconsideration of the rejection.

CLAIM 16

Claim 16 is dependent on claim 15 will stand or fall with claim 15.

APR-21-2006 15:18 303 297 2266 P.13

#### CLAIM 17

Claim 17 is independent and recites the following:

A wood floor edger comprising:

a first housing comprising a first opening, a second opening, a third opening, and a rotatable abrasive disc located adjacent said first opening;

a motor at least partially located in said second opening and drivingly connected to said abrasive disc;

a fan located in said first housing and proximate said third opening, said fan being drivingly connected to said motor;

an air path extending between said first opening and said third opening by way of said fan; and

a motor controller electrically connected to said motor;

wherein said motor is operatable at a speed that is preselected by said motor controller.

Claim 17 was rejected on the same grounds as claim 1. Therefore, claim 17 will stand or fall with claim 1.

CLAIMS 20-24, 26-28, and 33-35

Claims 20-24, 26-28, and 33-35 are deemed allowable by way of their dependence on claim 17 and for other reasons. Therefore, the appellants contend that they either stand or fall with claim 17.

CLAIM 30

Claim 30 is dependent on claim 17 and recites the following:

APR-21-2006 15:18 303 297 2266 P.14

The wood floor edger of claim 17, and further comprising a second housing having a handle attached thereto.

Claim 30 is similar to claim 15 and will, thus, stand or fall with claim 15.

Based on the foregoing, the applicants request reconsideration of the rejection.

## III. Rejection of Claims 2-8, 18-23, and 32 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 2-8, 18-23, and 32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurstein et al. (5,870,791) in view of McCutchen (6,540,598).

Claims 2-8, 18-23, and 32 are dependent on allowable base claims 1 and 17 and are deemed allowable by way of their dependence and for other reasons. Claims 2-8, 18-23, and 32 will stand or fall with their respective base claims.

## IV. Rejection of Claims 12, 14, 27, and 29 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 12, 14, 27, and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurstein et al. (5,870,791) either alone or in view of McCutchen (6,540,598), and further in view of anyone of Barous, Palushi, or Stewart.

Claims 12, 14, 27, and 29 are dependent on allowable base claims and are deemed allowable by way of their dependence and for other reasons. Claims 12, 14, 27, and 29 will stand or fall with their respective base claims.

In view of the above, all of the pending claims are now believed to be in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted, KLAAS, LAW, O'MEARA & MALKIN, P.C.

Dated: April 21, 2006

By:

Robert W. Nelson Reg. No. 37,898

1999 Broadway, Suite 2225

Denver, CO 80202 Tel: (303) 298-9888 Fax: (303) 297-2266

#### APPENDIX A - CLAIMS

A wood floor edger comprising: Claim 1:

a first housing comprising a first opening, a second opening, a third opening, and a rotatable abrasive disc located proximate said first opening, said rotatable abrasive disc having a diameter greater than six inches;

a motor at least partially located in said second opening and drivingly connected to said abrasive disc;

a fan located in said first housing, said fan being drivingly connected to said motor; and

an air path extending between said first opening and said third opening by way of said fan.

- The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said rotatable abrasive Claim 2: disc has a diameter of about seven inches.
- The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein the weight of said wood Claim 3: floor edger is about twenty-seven pounds.
- The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said motor is rotatable at Claim 4: a speed of greater than ten-thousand revolutions per minute.
- The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said motor is rotatable at Claim 5: a speed of about ten-thousand five-hundred revolutions per minute.
- The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said abrasive disc is Claim 6: rotatable at a speed of about three-thousand two-hundred revolutions per minute.

303 297 2266 P.17

- The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said motor has Claim 7: horsepower greater than two.
- The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said motor has Claim 8: horsepower of about 2.4.
- The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said motor is connected Claim 9: to said rotatable abrasive disc by a belt.

Claim 10 (cancelled)

- The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said fan is located within Claim 11: a compartment within said first housing.
- The wood floor edger of claim 9, wherein said third opening is Claim 12: located adjacent said fan and wherein a vacuum device is attachable to said third opening.
- The wood floor edger of claim 1, and further comprising at least Claim 13: one wheel attached to said first housing.

Claim 14 (cancelled)

- The wood floor edger of claim 1, and further comprising a second Claim 15: housing having a handle attached thereto.
- The wood floor edger of claim 15, wherein said handle is located Claim 16: opposite said opening of said first housing.

Serial Number: 10/638,096

303 297 2266 P.18 APR-21-2006 15:19 303 297 2266

> A wood floor edger comprising: Claim 17:

a first housing comprising a first opening, a second opening, a third opening, and a rotatable abrasive disc located adjacent said first opening;

a motor at least partially located in said second opening and drivingly connected to said abrasive disc;

a fan located in said first housing and proximate said third opening, said fan being drivingly connected to said motor;

an air path extending between said first opening and said third opening by way of said fan; and

a motor controller electrically connected to said motor;

wherein said motor is operatable at a speed that is preselected by said motor controller.

The wood floor edger of claim 17, wherein said rotatable abrasive Claim 18: disc has a diameter of about seven inches.

The wood floor edger of claim 17, wherein the weight of said wood Claim 19: floor edger is about twenty-seven pounds.

The wood floor edger of claim 17, wherein said motor is rotatable at Claim 20: a speed of greater than three thousand revolutions per minute.

The wood floor edger of claim 17, wherein said motor is rotatable at Claim 21: a speed of about ten-thousand five-hundred revolutions per minute.

The wood floor edger of claim 17, wherein said motor has Claim 22: horsepower greater than two.

APR-21-2006 15:19 303 297 2266 P.19

Claim 23: The wood floor edger of claim 17, wherein said motor has horsepower of about 2.4.

Claim 24: The wood floor edger of claim 17, wherein said motor is connected to said rotatable abrasive disc by a belt.

Claim 25 (cancelled)

Claim 26: The wood floor edger of claim 17, wherein said fan is located within a compartment within said first housing.

Claim 27: The wood floor edger of claim 17, wherein a vacuum device is attachable to said third opening.

Claim 28: The wood floor edger of claim 17, and further comprising at least one wheel attached to said first housing.

Claim 29 (cancelled)

Claim 30: The wood floor edger of claim 17, and further comprising a second housing having a handle attached thereto.

Claim 31 (cancelled)

Claim 32: The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said wood floor edger has a weight of less than twenty-eight pounds.

Claim 33: The wood floor edger of claim 1, wherein said motor is a brushless motor.

Claim 34: The wood floor edger of claim 30, wherein sald motor is located between said first housing and said second housing.

Claim 35: The wood floor edger of claim 30, wherein said handle is located opposite said second opening of said first housing.

#### APPENDIX B - EVIDENCE

There is no evidence to be presented

## **APPENDIX C - RELATED PROCEEDINGS**

There are no related proceedings.

Serial Number: 10/638,096

Docket No.: Bona US 4 CVL

19

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.